Database and Information Systems

Database and Information Systems

Document Retrieval

Readings:

- Baeza-Yates, R., Ribeiro-Neto, B.: Modern Information Retrieval, Addison Wesley, Harlow etc. 1999, chapter 2 and 3.

Document Retrieval

- goal: content-based access to unstructured data

Document Retrieval

- goal: content-based access to unstructured data
- primary application areas
  - electronic libraries
  - search engines (WWW)
  - knowledge management

Document Retrieval

- goal: content-based access to unstructured data
- primary application areas
  - electronic libraries
  - search engines (WWW)
  - knowledge management
- result: (gradual) estimation of the relevance of a given document with respect to a query

Document Retrieval

- Document Representation
- Preprocessing
- Retrieval Models
- Quality Measures
- Text Mining

Document Retrieval

- **Document Representation**
- Preprocessing
- Retrieval Models
- Quality Measures
- Text Mining

Document Representation

- selection of relevant documents based on keywords

Document Representation

- selection of relevant documents based on keywords
- descriptors: keywords about the text
  - free choice of descriptors
  - restricted choice of descriptors (thesaurus)

Document Representation

- selection of relevant documents based on keywords
- descriptors: keywords about the text
  - free choice of descriptors
  - restricted choice of descriptors (thesaurus)
- index terms: keywords from the text

Document Representation

- selection of relevant documents based on keywords
- descriptors: keywords about the text
  - free choice of descriptors
  - restricted choice of descriptors (thesaurus)
- index terms: keywords from the text
- manual vs. automatic selection of keywords

Document Representation

- keyword k_i (index terms): lexical token used to characterise a document

Document Representation

- keyword k_i (index terms): lexical token used to characterise a document

- weights w_{ij}: numerical value describing the "importance" of a term k_i for the content of the document d_j

$$w_{ij} \begin{cases} > & 0 \quad \forall k_i \in d_j \\ = & 0 \quad \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Document Representation

- keyword k_i (index terms): lexical token used to characterise a document

- weights w_{ij}: numerical value describing the "importance" of a term k_i for the content of the document d_j

$$w_{ij} \begin{cases} > & 0 \quad \forall k_i \in d_j \\ = & 0 \quad \text{else} \end{cases}$$

- each document d_j is characterised by a vector of weights $\vec{d_i}$

Document Representation

- keyword k_i (index terms): lexical token used to characterise a document
- weights w_{ij}: numerical value describing the "importance" of a term k_i for the content of the document d_j

$$w_{ij} \begin{cases} > & 0 \quad \forall k_i \in d_j \\ = & 0 \quad \text{else} \end{cases}$$

- each document d_j is characterised by a vector of weights $\vec{d_i}$
- usually many keywords
  $\rightarrow$ vectors of extremely high dimensionality

Document Retrieval

- Document Representation

- **Preprocessing**

- Retrieval Models

- Quality Measures

- Text Mining

Preprocessing

- removal of formatting information

Preprocessing

- removal of formatting information
- removal of non-textual information
  - graphics, numbers, . . .

Preprocessing

- removal of formatting information
- removal of non-textual information
  - graphics, numbers, . . .
- removal of stop words (function words)
  - high frequency words
  - assumption: contribute little to the content of a text
  - English: *the, a, an, and, or, of, for,* . . .
  - German: *der, die, das, den, dem, und, oder,* . . .

Preprocessing

- removal of formatting information
- removal of non-textual information
  - graphics, numbers, . . .
- removal of stop words (function words)
  - high frequency words
  - assumption: contribute little to the content of a text
  - English: *the, a, an, and, or, of, for,* . . .
  - German: *der, die, das, den, dem, und, oder,* . . .
- removal of interpunction characters

Preprocessing

- removal of formatting information
- removal of non-textual information
  - graphics, numbers, . . .
- removal of stop words (function words)
  - high frequency words
  - assumption: contribute little to the content of a text
  - English: *the, a, an, and, or, of, for,* . . .
  - German: *der, die, das, den, dem, und, oder,* . . .
- removal of interpunction characters
- removal of infrequent word (too many)

Preprocessing

- normalization of word forms

Preprocessing

- normalization of word forms
- lemmatization: determining the (canonical) citation form
  - removal of inflectional endings
  - English:
    cars → *car*, *glasses* → *glass*,
    children → *child*, *men* → *man*
  - German:
    Bilder → *Bild*, *Maler* → *Maler*,
    Bildern → *Bild*, *Malern* → *Maler*,
    Zeiten → *Zeit*, *Fallen* → *Falle*,
    Äste → *Ast*, *Ähren* → *Ähre*
  - splitting of compounds:
    Weltuntergang → *Welt* + *Untergang*
    Innovationsschwäche → *Innovation* + *Schwäche*

Document Retrieval

- Document Representation
- Preprocessing
- Retrieval Models
- Text Mining

Retrieval Models

- Boolean Model
- Vector Space Model
- Weighted Boolean Model
- Probabilistic Model
- (Latent Semantic Indexing)

Boolean Model

- document description: $w_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$

Boolean Model

- document description: $w_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$
- query is a logical formula on index terms
  - binary weights
  - only full match
  - no grading of importance
  - no ranking of results

Boolean Model

- specification of descriptors
  ['databases'] in Text

Boolean Model

- specification of descriptors
  ```
  ['databases'] in Text
  ```
- specification of a set of descriptors
  ```
  ['databases', 'information systems'] in Text
  ```

Boolean Model

- specification of descriptors
  ['databases'] in Text

- specification of a set of descriptors
  ['databases', 'information systems'] in Text

- use of logical connectors
  ['databases' AND 'multimedia'] in Text

Boolean Model

- specification of descriptors
  ['databases'] in Text

- specification of a set of descriptors
  ['databases', 'information systems'] in Text

- use of logical connectors
  ['databases' AND 'multimedia'] in Text

- proximity queries: context sensitive patterns
  ['object-relational' WORD(-1) 'databases']
       in Text
  ['object-oriented' SAME_SENTENCE 'databases']
       in Text
  ['object-oriented' PARAGRAPH(2) 'databases']
       in Text

Boolean Model

- specification of descriptors
  ['databases'] in Text

- specification of a set of descriptors
  ['databases', 'information systems'] in Text

- use of logical connectors
  ['databases' AND 'multimedia'] in Text

- proximity queries: context sensitive patterns
  ['object-relational' WORD(-1) 'databases']
        in Text
  ['object-oriented' SAME_SENTENCE 'databases']
        in Text
  ['object-oriented' PARAGRAPH(2) 'databases']
        in Text

- weighted queries: how important is a descriptor
      hotel:0.8 AND seaside:0.5 AND view:0.2

Vector Space Model

- document and query are represented as a vector of weights $\vec{q}$

Vector Space Model

- document and query are represented as a vector of weights $\vec{q}$
- degree of matching between two vectors is defined as a similarity function: e.g. cosine of two vectors

$$
\begin{aligned}
sim(d_i, q) &= \frac{\vec{d_i} \cdot \vec{q}}{|\vec{d_i}| \cdot |\vec{q}|} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{t} w_{ij} \cdot w_{iq}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{t} w_{ij}^2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{t} w_{iq}^2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Vector Space Model

- document and query are represented as a vector of weights $\vec{q}$
- degree of matching between two vectors is defined as a similarity function: e.g. cosine of two vectors

$$
\begin{aligned}
sim(d_i, q) &= \frac{\vec{d_i} \cdot \vec{q}}{|\vec{d_i}| \cdot |\vec{q}|} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{t} w_{ij} \cdot w_{iq}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{t} w_{ij}^2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{t} w_{iq}^2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- partial match is possible
- results can be ranked according to decreasing similarity

Vector Space Model

- Which measure to use as term weights w_{ij}?

Vector Space Model

- Which measure to use as term weights w_{ij}?
- tf: normalized frequency of a term k_i in a document d_j

$$tf_{ij} = \frac{f_{ij}}{\max_k f_{kj}}$$

f_{ij} frequency of term k_i in document d_j

Vector Space Model

- Which measure to use as term weights w_{ij}?
- tf: normalized frequency of a term k_i in a document d_j

$$tf_{ij} = \frac{f_{ij}}{\max_k f_{kj}}$$

  f_{ij} frequency of term k_i in document d_j

- idf: inverse document frequency of a term k_i

$$idf_i = \log \frac{N}{|\{d_j|k_i \in d_j\}|}$$

  N number of documents

Vector Space Model

- tf-idf: combining tf and idf

$$w_{ij} = tf_{ij} \cdot idf_i = \frac{f_{ij}}{\max_k f_{kj}} \cdot \log \frac{|\{d_1, ..., d_N\}|}{|\{d_j | k_i \in d_j\}|}$$

Vector Space Model

- tf-idf: combining tf and idf

$$w_{ij} = tf_{ij} \cdot idf_i = \frac{f_{ij}}{\max_k f_{kj}} \cdot \log \frac{|\{d_1, ..., d_N\}|}{|\{d_j | k_i \in d_j\}|}$$

- the higher the frequency of a term in a document the better this term reflects the content of the document

Vector Space Model

- tf-idf: combining tf and idf

$$w_{ij} = tf_{ij} \cdot idf_i = \frac{f_{ij}}{\max_k f_{kj}} \cdot \log \frac{|\{d_1, ..., d_N\}|}{|\{d_j | k_i \in d_j\}|}$$

- the higher the frequency of a term in a document the better this term reflects the content of the document

- the smaller the set of documents in which a term occurs the better is the utility of the term to describe the content of a document (i.e. words occuring in every text are ignored)

Vector Space Model

- tf-idf: combining tf and idf

$$w_{ij} = tf_{ij} \cdot idf_i = \frac{f_{ij}}{\max_k f_{kj}} \cdot \log \frac{|\{d_1, ..., d_N\}|}{|\{d_j | k_i \in d_j\}|}$$

- the higher the frequency of a term in a document the better this term reflects the content of the document
- the smaller the set of documents in which a term occurs the better is the utility of the term to describe the content of a document (i.e. words occuring in every text are ignored)

- simple, fast and reliable

Vector Space Model

- retrieval of similar documents

Vector Space Model

- retrieval of similar documents
- relevance feedback: "Give me more of this"
    - using a sample document as extended query
    - more general: classify the retrieved documents as being useful (D_+) or not (D_-)
    - compute a new description vector as the weighted sum of the original query and the classified documents

$$\vec{q}\,' = \alpha\,\vec{q} + \beta \sum_{D_+} \vec{d} - \gamma \sum_{D_-} \vec{d}$$

Vector Space Model

- retrieval of similar documents
- relevance feedback: "Give me more of this"
  - using a sample document as extended query
  - more general: classify the retrieved documents as being useful (D_+) or not (D_-)
  - compute a new description vector as the weighted sum of the original query and the classified documents

$$\vec{q}\,' = \alpha\ \vec{q} + \beta \sum_{D_+} \vec{d} - \gamma \sum_{D_-} \vec{d}$$

- query as a generalised document: "Ask Jeeves about"

Weighted Boolean Model

- terms in the document are weighted (e.g. tf-idf)
- terms in the query not
- special operators for the logical connectors
  e.g. P-norm model for $p = 2$

$$sim(\vec{d_j}, \vec{q}_{and}) = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(1 - w_{ij})^2}{2}}$$

$$sim(\vec{d_j}, \vec{q}_{or}) = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{ij}^2}{2}}$$

n: number of terms in the query

Probabilistic Model

- trying to maximize the probability that a set of documents is relevant to the user

Probabilistic Model

- trying to maximize the probability that a set of documents is relevant to the user

- user feedback can be used to adapt the model

Probabilistic Model

- trying to maximize the probability that a set of documents is relevant to the user

- user feedback can be used to adapt the model

- similarity

$$sim(d_j, q) = \frac{p(R|\vec{d_j})}{p(\bar{R}|\vec{d_j})}$$

R: set of documents considered to be relevant
$\bar{R}$: complement of R
weights are binary: $w_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$

Probabilistic Model

- trying to maximize the probability that a set of documents is relevant to the user

- user feedback can be used to adapt the model

- similarity

$$sim(d_j, q) = \frac{p(R|\vec{d_j})}{p(\bar{R}|\vec{d_j})}$$

R: set of documents considered to be relevant
$\bar{R}$: complement of R
weights are binary: $w_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$

- probabilities are query dependent!

Probabilistic Model

- using Bayes' rule

$$sim(d_j, q) = \frac{p(\vec{d_j}|R) \cdot p(R)}{p(\vec{d_j}|\bar{R}) \cdot p(\bar{R})}$$

Probabilistic Model

- using Bayes' rule

$$sim(d_j, q) = \frac{p(\vec{d_j}|R) \cdot p(R)}{p(\vec{d_j}|\bar{R}) \cdot p(\bar{R})}$$

- $p(R)$ and $p(\bar{R})$ are the same for all documents,
  i.e. they do not influence a document selection based on maximum probability

$$sim(d_j, q) \sim \frac{p(\vec{d_j}|R)}{p(\vec{d_j}|\bar{R})}$$

Probabilistic Model

- assuming independence between index terms

$$sim(d_j, q) \sim \frac{\prod_{i, w_{ij}=1} p(k_i|R) \cdot \prod_{i, w_{ij}=0} p(\bar{k}_i|R)}{\prod_{i, w_{ij}=1} p(k_i|\bar{R}) \cdot \prod_{i, w_{ij}=0} p(\bar{k}_i|\bar{R})}$$

Probabilistic Model

- assuming independence between index terms

$$sim(d_j, q) \sim \frac{\prod_{i,w_{ij}=1} p(k_i|R) \cdot \prod_{i,w_{ij}=0} p(\bar{k}_i|R)}{\prod_{i,w_{ij}=1} p(k_i|\bar{R}) \cdot \prod_{i,w_{ij}=0} p(\bar{k}_i|\bar{R})}$$

- taking logarithm, ignoring constant factors, and using the law of overall probability

$$sim(d_j, q) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{t} w_{iq} \cdot w_{ij} \cdot \left(\log \frac{p(k_i|R)}{1 - p(k_i|R)} + \log \frac{1 - p(k_i|\bar{R})}{p(k_i|\bar{R})} \right)$$

Probabilistic Model

- assuming independence between index terms

$$sim(d_j, q) \sim \frac{\prod_{i, w_{ij}=1} p(k_i|R) \cdot \prod_{i, w_{ij}=0} p(\bar{k}_i|R)}{\prod_{i, w_{ij}=1} p(k_i|\bar{R}) \cdot \prod_{i, w_{ij}=0} p(\bar{k}_i|\bar{R})}$$

- taking logarithm, ignoring constant factors, and using the law of overall probability

$$sim(d_j, q) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{t} w_{iq} \cdot w_{ij} \cdot \left(\log \frac{p(k_i|R)}{1 - p(k_i|R)} + \log \frac{1 - p(k_i|\bar{R})}{p(k_i|\bar{R})} \right)$$

- Where do the probabilities $p(k_i|R)$ and $p(k_i|\bar{R})$ come from?

Probabilistic Model

- recursive procedure (expectation maximisation):

Probabilistic Model

- recursive procedure (expectation maximisation):
    - assume initial values for $p(k_i|R)$ and $p(k_i|\bar{R})$
    - if no other information available:

    $$p(k_i|R) = \text{ const}, \qquad \text{i.e. } p(k_i|R) = 0.5$$

    $$p(k_i|\bar{R}) = \frac{|D_i|}{|D|}$$

    D: set of all documents; $D_i = \{d_j \in D | k_i \in d_j\}$

Probabilistic Model

- recursive procedure (expectation maximisation):
  - assume initial values for $p(k_i|R)$ and $p(k_i|\bar{R})$
  - if no other information available:

    $$p(k_i|R) = \text{ const}, \qquad \text{i.e. } p(k_i|R) = 0.5$$

    $$p(k_i|\bar{R}) = \frac{|D_i|}{|D|}$$

    D: set of all documents; $D_i = \{d_j \in D | k_i \in d_j\}$
  - retrieve a document set

Probabilistic Model

- recursive procedure (cont.)
    - recompute $p(k_i|R)$ on the retrieved documents V assuming all retrieved documents are relevant

    $$p(k_i|R) = \frac{|V_i|}{|V|}, \quad V_i = \{d_j \in V | k_i \in d_j\}$$

    - recompute $p(k_i|\bar{R})$ assuming all not-retrieved documents are irrelevant

    $$p(k_i|\bar{R}) = \frac{|D_i| - |V_i|}{|D| - |V|}$$

Probabilistic Model

- recursive procedure (cont.)
  - recompute $p(k_i|R)$ on the retrieved documents V assuming all retrieved documents are relevant

    $$p(k_i|R) = \frac{|V_i|}{|V|}, \quad V_i = \{d_j \in V | k_i \in d_j\}$$

  - recompute $p(k_i|\bar{R})$ assuming all not-retrieved documents are irrelevant

    $$p(k_i|\bar{R}) = \frac{|D_i| - |V_i|}{|D| - |V|}$$

  - or: use user relevance feedback to improve the estimate

Probabilistic Model

- recursive procedure (cont.)
    - recompute $p(k_i|R)$ on the retrieved documents V assuming all retrieved documents are relevant

    $$p(k_i|R) = \frac{|V_i|}{|V|}, \quad V_i = \{d_j \in V | k_i \in d_j\}$$

    - recompute $p(k_i|\bar{R})$ assuming all not-retrieved documents are irrelevant

    $$p(k_i|\bar{R}) = \frac{|D_i| - |V_i|}{|D| - |V|}$$

    - or: use user relevance feedback to improve the estimate
    - continue until a termination criterion is met

Latent Semantic Indexing

- keyword-based retrieval can give poor results because of
  - ambiguity: a keyword can describe quite different concepts

    tree $\rightarrow$ plant, structure

Latent Semantic Indexing

- keyword-based retrieval can give poor results because of
  - ambiguity: a keyword can describe quite different concepts

    tree $\rightarrow$ plant, structure

  - variety: more than a single keyword can be used to describe a concept

    program, software, code $\rightarrow$
    piece of text written in a programming language

Latent Semantic Indexing

- keyword-based retrieval can give poor results because of
  - ambiguity: a keyword can describe quite different concepts

    tree $\rightarrow$ plant, structure
  - variety: more than a single keyword can be used to describe a concept

    program, software, code $\rightarrow$

    piece of text written in a programming language
- document retrieval should be based on concepts rather than keywords

Latent Semantic Indexing

- keyword-based retrieval can give poor results because of
  - ambiguity: a keyword can describe quite different concepts

    tree $\rightarrow$ plant, structure
  - variety: more than a single keyword can be used to describe a concept

    program, software, code $\rightarrow$
    piece of text written in a programming language
- document retrieval should be based on concepts rather than keywords
- idea: concepts are characterised by common contexts in which they appear

Latent Semantic Indexing

- term-document association matrix: M ($t \times N$-matrix)

Latent Semantic Indexing

- term-document association matrix: M ($t \times N$-matrix)
- each matrix element is the weight of the term (row) in a document (column)

Latent Semantic Indexing

- term-document association matrix: M ($t \times N$-matrix)
- each matrix element is the weight of the term (row) in a document (column)
- M is decomposed into three components

$$M = K \cdot S \cdot D^T$$

Latent Semantic Indexing

- term-document association matrix: M ($t \times N$-matrix)
- each matrix element is the weight of the term (row) in a document (column)
- M is decomposed into three components

$$M = K \cdot S \cdot D^T$$

- K: matrix of eigenvectors derived from the quadratic matrix $M \cdot M^T$ (describes terms as vectors of derived orthogonal factors ("concepts"))

Latent Semantic Indexing

- term-document association matrix: M ($t \times N$-matrix)
- each matrix element is the weight of the term (row) in a document (column)
- M is decomposed into three components

$$M = K \cdot S \cdot D^T$$

- K: matrix of eigenvectors derived from the quadratic matrix $M \cdot M^T$ (describes terms as vectors of derived orthogonal factors ("concepts"))
- D^T: matrix of eigenvectors derived from the quadratic matrix $M^T \cdot M$ (describes documents as vectors of derived orthogonal factors)

Latent Semantic Indexing

- term-document association matrix: M ($t \times N$-matrix)
- each matrix element is the weight of the term (row) in a document (column)
- M is decomposed into three components

$$M = K \cdot S \cdot D^T$$

- K: matrix of eigenvectors derived from the quadratic matrix $M \cdot M^T$ (describes terms as vectors of derived orthogonal factors ("concepts"))
- D^T: matrix of eigenvectors derived from the quadratic matrix $M^T \cdot M$ (describes documents as vectors of derived orthogonal factors)
- S: $r \times r$ diagonal matrix of singular (scaling) values ($r = \min(t, N)$)

Latent Semantic Indexing

- keep only the s largest singular values from S together with the corresponding columns in K and D^T
  - dimensionality reduction

Latent Semantic Indexing

- keep only the s largest singular values from S together with the corresponding columns in K and D^T
    - dimensionality reduction
- M_s: matrix of rang s which approximates M with minimum square error

$$M_s = K_s \cdot S_s \cdot D_s^T \approx M$$

s: dimensionality of the reduced concept space ($s < r$)

Latent Semantic Indexing

- keep only the s largest singular values from S together with the corresponding columns in K and D^T
  - dimensionality reduction
- M_s: matrix of rang s which approximates M with minimum square error

$$M_s = K_s \cdot S_s \cdot D_s^T \approx M$$

s: dimensionality of the reduced concept space ($s < r$)

- s should be
  - large enough to accomodate all the structure in the data
  - small enough to suppress all the non-relevant details

Latent Semantic Indexing

- relationships between two documents can be obtained from

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_s^T \cdot M_s &= (K_s \cdot S_s \cdot D_s^T)^T \cdot K_s \cdot S_s \cdot D_s^T \\
&= D_s \cdot S_s \cdot K_s^T \cdot K_s \cdot S_s \cdot D_s^T \\
&= D_s \cdot S_s \cdot S_s \cdot D_s^T \\
&= (D_s \cdot S_s) \cdot (D_s \cdot S_s)^T
\end{aligned}
$$

Latent Semantic Indexing

- relationships between two documents can be obtained from

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_s^T \cdot M_s &= (K_s \cdot S_s \cdot D_s^T)^T \cdot K_s \cdot S_s \cdot D_s^T \\
&= D_s \cdot S_s \cdot K_s^T \cdot K_s \cdot S_s \cdot D_s^T \\
&= D_s \cdot S_s \cdot S_s \cdot D_s^T \\
&= (D_s \cdot S_s) \cdot (D_s \cdot S_s)^T
\end{aligned}
$$

- $sim(d_i, d_j) = e_{ij}(M_s^T \cdot M_s)$

Latent Semantic Indexing

- relationships between two documents can be obtained from

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_s^T \cdot M_s &= (K_s \cdot S_s \cdot D_s^T)^T \cdot K_s \cdot S_s \cdot D_s^T \\
&= D_s \cdot S_s \cdot K_s^T \cdot K_s \cdot S_s \cdot D_s^T \\
&= D_s \cdot S_s \cdot S_s \cdot D_s^T \\
&= (D_s \cdot S_s) \cdot (D_s \cdot S_s)^T
\end{aligned}
$$

- $sim(d_i, d_j) = e_{ij}(M_s^T \cdot M_s)$
- model the query as a pseudo document: the corresponding row in $M_s^T \cdot M_s$ contains the ranks of all documents with respect to this query

Latent Semantic Indexing

- $s \ll t, s \ll N$
  - efficient indexing scheme
  - elimination of noise from the data
  - removal of redundancy

Latent Semantic Indexing

- $s \ll t, s \ll N$
  - efficient indexing scheme
  - elimination of noise from the data
  - removal of redundancy
- problem: open document collections

Document Retrieval

- other similarity-based models
    - fuzzy set model
    - generalised vector space model
    - neural networks
    - bayesian networks
    - inference network model
    - belief network models
    - ...

Document Retrieval

- Document Representation
- Preprocessing
- Retrieval Models
- Quality Measures
- Text Mining

Quality Measures

- recall: fraction of the relevant documents which has been retrieved

Quality Measures

- recall: fraction of the relevant documents which has been retrieved
- precision: fraction of the retrieved documents which is relevant

Quality Measures

- precision:

$$precision = \frac{|V \cap R|}{|V|}$$

Quality Measures

- precision:

$$precision = \frac{|V \cap R|}{|V|}$$

- recall:

$$recall = \frac{|V \cap R|}{|R|}$$

Quality Measures

- precision:

$$precision = \frac{|V \cap R|}{|V|}$$

- recall:

$$recall = \frac{|V \cap R|}{|R|}$$

- fallout:

$$fallout = \frac{|R - V|}{|D - R|}$$

Quality Measures

- precision and recall are antagonistic measures:
  - maximizing recall reduces precision
  - maximising precision reduces recall

Quality Measures

- precision and recall are antagonistic measures:
  - maximizing recall reduces precision
  - maximising precision reduces recall
- if ranked documents are inspected incrementally, both figures vary over time

Quality Measures

- precision and recall are antagonistic measures:
    - maximizing recall reduces precision
    - maximising precision reduces recall
- if ranked documents are inspected incrementally, both figures vary over time
- open document collections: recall cannot be computed
  Which are the relevant documents on the web?

Quality Measures

- relevance is
  - a subjective notion
  - specific to a single query

Quality Measures

- relevance is
  - a subjective notion
  - specific to a single query
- quality measures for test suites of queries: weighted sum over precisions at a given recall level

Quality Measures

- user-oriented measures: set of relevant documents is unknown

known to the user U

retrieved documents V

relevant documents R

already known   previously unknown

Quality Measures

- coverage: fraction of documents which is known to the user and has been retrieved

$$coverage = \frac{|U \cap V|}{|U|}$$

Quality Measures

- coverage: fraction of documents which is known to the user and has been retrieved

$$coverage = \frac{|U \cap V|}{|U|}$$

- novelty: fraction of the retrieved and relevant documents previously unknown to the user

$$novelty = \frac{|(R \cap V) - U|}{|R \cap V|}$$

Quality Measures

- relative recall: found relevant documents divided by expected relevant documents

Quality Measures

- relative recall: found relevant documents divided by expected relevant documents

- recall effort: expected documents divided by documents to be read

Quality Measures

- objective evaluation methods
  - standardised retrieval tasks on manually prepared text corpora ("gold standard")
  - text retrival conferences (TREC)
  - annual competition organized by the National Institute of Standards (NIST)

Document Retrieval

- Document Representation
- Preprocessing
- Retrieval Models
- Quality Measures
- Text Mining

Text Mining

- Classification
- Clustering

Classification

- supervised learning: class assignment for the training data is given

Classification

- supervised learning: class assignment for the training data is given
- applications:
    - routing of emails
    - filtering and grouping of online news

Classification

- supervised learning: class assignment for the training data is given
- applications:
    - routing of emails
    - filtering and grouping of online news
- train a neural network or a probabilistic classifier

Clustering

- unsupervised learning: only maximum number of classes is given

Clustering

- unsupervised learning: only maximum number of classes is given
- applications:
  - presentation of retrieval results
  - navigation tools for text collections

Clustering

- unsupervised learning: only maximum number of classes is given
- applications:
    - presentation of retrieval results
    - navigation tools for text collections
- class coherence: term frequency (tf)

Clustering

- unsupervised learning: only maximum number of classes is given
- applications:
    - presentation of retrieval results
    - navigation tools for text collections
- class coherence: term frequency (tf)
- class distinction: inverse document frequency (idf)

Clustering

- unsupervised learning: only maximum number of classes is given
- applications:
  - presentation of retrieval results
  - navigation tools for text collections
- class coherence: term frequency (tf)
- class distinction: inverse document frequency (idf)
- weighting of descriptors: $tf \cdot idf$

Evaluation

- example: Excite (Frankfurter Rundschau online)
  - ranking
  - retrieval of similar documents
  - clustering

Evaluation

- query: "schmutzige Bombe"

- ranking: 20 documents, 5 relevant:
  - 70% Zugang zu radioaktivem Material
  - 68% Bedrohung durch radioaktive Bomben
  - 64% Anschläge auf US-Marine geplant
  - 54% Bombe aus 2. Weltkrieg entschärft
  - 52% Bombenanschlag in Israel
  - 50% Bedrohung durch radioaktive Bomben
  - 50% Stadt ist zu schmutzig
  - 48% Atomkraft ist schmutzige Energie
  - 48% schmutzige Strassen
  - 48% Bombenanschlag auf israelisches Tanklager
  - 44% Islamisten-Prozess, tödliche Bombe
  - 44% Beziehungsdrama, Bombenattrappe entdeckt
  - 44% Bombenanschlag in Israel
  - 44% Entschädigung für libyschen Bombenanschlag
  - 44% Bedrohung durch radioaktive Bomben
  - 42% Bombenanschlag in Israel
  - 42% Dr. Seltsam: Wie sieht eine Atombombe aus?
  - 42% schmutziges Grün auf Ausstellung
  - 42% Bedrohung durch radioaktive Bomben
  - 42% Bomben im 2. Weltkrieg

Evaluation

- clustering

- group 1: bush, lämmle, palästinenser, gray, bombe
  9 documents, 3 relevant
  unkontrollierter Zugang zu radioaktivem Material, Bedrohung durch radioaktive Bomben, Anschläge auf US-Marine geplant, Bombe aus 2. Weltkrieg entschärft, Bombenanschlag in Israel, Bedrohung durch radioaktive Bomben, Bombenanschlag in Israel, Bombenanschlag in Israel, Militärschlag gegen Irak

- group 2: ich, jeroen, willems, monolog, sehr
  5 documents, 0 relevant
  schmutzige Servietten, Umgang mit technischen Produkten, Bombenangriffe auf Serbien, moralische Kategorien, schmutzige Wäsche

Evaluation

- group 3: kpnqwest, boston, kirche, priester, massachusetts
  5 documents, 0 relevant
  *Entschädigung für libyschen Bombenanschlag, nicht schmutzig machen,
  Druckwelle einer Bombe, schmutzige Details, Bomben gegen das Internet*

- group 4: kensington, palace, leigh, königin, apartments
  1 documents, 0 relevant
  schmutzige Schuhe, schmutzige Schuhe

- group 5: kanata, ottawa, wäsche, ottawas, wäscheleinen
  2 documents, 0 relevant
  schmutzige Wäsche waschen, schmutzige Wäsche waschen

Evaluation

- group 6: fifa, blatter, hayatou, addo, seenot
  2 documents, 0 relevant
  schmutzige Tricks, schmutziges Spiel

- group 7: stadionbad, sprungturm, wasserspringer, beckenrand, wasser
  1 document, 0, relevant
  platschende Bombe

- group 8: darmkrebs, riemann, darmkrebse, darmkrebsrisiko, früherkennung
  1 document, 0 relevant
  Dunstkreis des Schmutzigen

- group 9: jerome, ungebetenen, bronx, audrah, karst
  1 document, 0 relevant
  Bombenattentat im Kriminalroman

Evaluation

- separaration of ambiguous words (1): Strom

- group 1: biblis, edf, kwk, ovag, block

  ... Attacke gegen den staatlichen französischen Energiekonzern EdF, da nicht zu erkennen sei, woher der Strom stamme.

  ... ein Gesetz zur Förderung von Strom aus umweltfreundlichen Kraft-Wärme-Kopplungs-Anlagen (KWK) auf den Weg gebracht.

  ... Oberhessische Versorgungsbetriebe AG (Ovag) kann sich über ihr Strom-Geschäft nicht beklagen.

  Bundeswirtschaftsminister Werner Müller droht dem französischen Stromkonzern Electricité de France (EdF) mit einem Importboykott.

  ... wie Motorroller, Leichtmofas, Power-Bikes und E-Kickboards, die ihre Leistung aus rein regenerativen Stromquellen beziehen.

  ... hatte es allein in Rheinland-Pfalz mehr als 60 öffentliche und private Badeanstalten an dem Strom gegeben.

  ... zwei Boote der Vereinten Nationen auf dem Kongo eingetroffen ... Bis vor kurzem war der Strom Kampfschauplatz gewesen.

Evaluation

- separation of ambiguous words (2): Gewinn

- group 1: dm, kl, lotto, gewinnt, dmez

  Glücksspiel: 7 documents, 7 fitting

  Vor einigen Jahren hatte sich Frauchen beim Gassigehen die Hausnummern gemerkt, an denen Bodo das Bein hob, entsprechende Kreuze im Lotto-Schein gesetzt und damit einen Volltreffer gelandet: "Bodo hat 1,9 Millionen Mark erpinkelt", bilanziert Hans-Joachim Schmitz von der Lotto-Gesellschaft Rheinland-Pfalz. Gassigehen mit Bodo könnte sich derzeit besonders lohnen: 36 Millionen Mark (18,4 Millionen Euro) winken im Samstag-Lotto, nachdem der Jackpot am Mittwoch wieder nicht geknackt wurde, sagte Schmitz.

  Geknackt ist er, der Lotto-Jackpot von 36 Millionen Mark, in den die Verlierer der wöchentlichen Hoffnungszulage einmal mehr duldsam eingezahlt hatten, um wieder leer auszugehen. Irgendwo gibt es zwei Gewinnende im Lande, die nun auf ihren Schein starren, um sich zu vergewissern, dass sie sich nicht verzählt oder die Superzahl mit der Endnummer des Spiels 77 verwechselt haben. Für alle anderen gilt, dass Lotto die Illusion auf einen finalen Kurswechsel eines Spiels ist, das letztlich doch wieder bloß weiter geht...

Evaluation

- group 2: audi, infomatec, lufthansa, harlos, piloten
  finanzieller Gewinn: 8 documents, 6 fitting

- group 3: eintracht, nils, schuss, 2, bhc
  sportlicher Gewinn: 5 documents, 4 fitting

- group 4: indus, kill, kapitalismus, kobank, risikostreuung
  finanzieller Gewinn: 5 Dokumente, 5 fitting

- group 5: tour, de, france, armstrong, etappe
  sportlicher Gewinn: 4 documents, 4 fitting

Evaluation

- group 6: edf, orlando, mafia, fiat, bandenmäßig
  diverse Gewinne:

  große Mengen an Drogen ..., um sie mit Gewinn ... weiterzuverkaufen.
  ... auch drei Geldhäuser für ihr geplantes Joint Venture gewinnen.
  ... der Gewinn aller Direktmandate .

- group 7: a, bildungslücke, 63, box, magazin
  Preisausschreiben: 3 documents, 3 fitting

- group 8: incognegro, uh, oh, funkiness, hiphop
  ... Gänseblümchen gewinnen .

Evaluation

- group 9: olympischen, olympia, olympiabewerbung, machbarkeitsstudie, ioc
  ... innerdeutsches Rennen ... gewinnen ....

- group 10: dosenpfand, anhänger, umfrage, befürworten
  Mehrheit dafür gewinnen ...

- group 11: caisse, ware, visier, aufsicht, mit, bankenriese
  finanzieller Gewinn

- group 12: misslicher, scharon, palästinenser, arafats
  politischer Gewinn

Database and Information Systems

Database and Information Systems

Readings

- Soumen Chakrabarti: Mining the Web. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Amsterdam etc. 2003

Web Mining

- Markup Analysis
- Link Context Analysis
- Link Structure Analysis
- Page Role Classification
- Problems of Link Analysis

Web Mining

- Markup Analysis
- Link Context Analysis
- Link Structure Analysis
- Page Role Classification
- Problems of Link Analysis

Markup Analysis

- web documents contain more information than pure text

Markup Analysis

- web documents contain more information than pure text
  - metainformation
    - description
    - keywords

Markup Analysis

- web documents contain more information than pure text
    - metainformation
        - description
        - keywords
    - document structure
        - URI
        - title
        - headlines
        - font tags (strong, bold, emphasize)
        - text body
        - ...

Markup Analysis

- web documents contain more information than pure text
  - metainformation
    - description
    - keywords
  - document structure
    - URI
    - title
    - headlines
    - font tags (strong, bold, emphasize)
    - text body
    - ...
  - different kinds of information can be weighted differently

Markup Analysis

- markup is used for spamming: "invisible" text
  - metainformation
  - color

Markup Analysis

- markup is used for spamming: "invisible" text
  - metainformation
  - color
- link frequency information is also not reliable
  - web pages are mirrored
  - removal of duplicates becomes necessary

Markup Analysis

- markup is used for spamming: "invisible" text
  - metainformation
  - color
- link frequency information is also not reliable
  - web pages are mirrored
  - removal of duplicates becomes necessary
- evaluating the quality of a page:
  - detailed analysis of the link structure

Link Context Analysis

- the web is more than a collection of documents and links

Link Context Analysis

- the web is more than a collection of documents and links
- links are *embedded* in a web-page

Link Context Analysis

- the web is more than a collection of documents and links
- links are *embedded* in a web-page
- anchor text:

    We have several open positions in our group.

Link Context Analysis

- the web is more than a collection of documents and links
- links are *embedded* in a web-page
- anchor text:

    We have several open positions in our group.

- anchor context:

    For information about open positions click here.

Link Context Analysis

- anchor and target text provide mutual evidence

open positions

We are seeking a highly moti-
vated and qualified sales per-
son to join our team of …

Link Context Analysis

- sometimes anchor and target are fully complementary

- possible solution: absorbing text from neighboring pages
    - both incoming and outgoing
    - mark the descriptors as belonging to other pages
    - useful for pages with little or no textual information

Link Context Analysis

- combined classifiers can be trained
- problem: possible imbalance between the two information sources
  - one source dominates the overall probability
- co-training: keep the feature spaces disjoint
  - use the scores of one classifier to train the other and vice versa
    $\rightarrow$ semi-supervised training

Link Context Analysis

- supervised classification is infeasible
    - high annotation costs
    - millions of pages
- semi-supervised training: e.g. expectation maximization
    - annotate a small amount of the available data
    - train a classifier
    - classify the remaining data
    - rank the data according to the confidence rating of the classifier
    - select the classification results with highest confidence value
    - add them to the set of already annotated data

Link Structure Analysis

- detection of page clusters (communities)
  - allows thematic disambiguation
  - search space reduction by intersecting cluster
- application of ideas from bibliometry: co-citation
  - two documents are commonly cited by many others
    $\rightarrow$ the documents are somehow related

Link Structure Analysis

- a link can be seen as an implicit recommendation ("citation")
    - assessment of the prestige of a page
      $\rightarrow$ prestigeous pages provide high quality information
- problems
    - link structure is only partially known
        - collecting incoming links by crawling is always incomplete
    - number of citations can be manipulated easily
        - quality of the citing page needs to be considered as well (backlinking)
        - few links from pages with a high rank is better than many links from pages with low rank

Page Ranking

- PageRank: Page, Brin, Motwani, Winograd (1998)
    $\rightarrow$ Google

- web page: u

- forward links: outgoing from u to other pages $F(u)$

- back links: incoming from other pages to u $B(u)$

- rank: $R(u)$

$$R(u) = c \sum_{v \in B_u} \frac{R(v)}{|F(v)|}$$

Page Ranking

- pages
  - receive their prestige from incoming links

Page Ranking

- pages
    - receive their prestige from incoming links
   - distribute their prestige evenly to the outgoing links

Page Ranking

- pages
  - receive their prestige from incoming links
  - distribute their prestige evenly to the outgoing links

Page Ranking

- pages
  - receive their prestige from incoming links
  - distribute their prestige evenly to the outgoing links

Page Ranking

- pages
  - receive their prestige from incoming links
  - distribute their prestige evenly to the outgoing links

Page Ranking

- pages
  - receive their prestige from incoming links
  - distribute their prestige evenly to the outgoing links

Page Ranking

- pages
  - receive their prestige from incoming links
  - distribute their prestige evenly to the outgoing links

Page Ranking

- pages
  - receive their prestige from incoming links
  - distribute their prestige evenly to the outgoing links

Page Ranking

- pages
  - receive their prestige from incoming links
  - distribute their prestige evenly to the outgoing links

Page Ranking

- pages
  - receive their prestige from incoming links
 - distribute their prestige evenly to the outgoing links

Page Ranking

- pages
  - receive their prestige from incoming links
  - distribute their prestige evenly to the outgoing links

Page Ranking

- pages
    - receive their prestige from incoming links
    - distribute their prestige evenly to the outgoing links

Page Ranking

- pages
  - receive their prestige from incoming links
 - distribute their prestige evenly to the outgoing links

Page Ranking

- recursive algorithm
- repeated approximation until enough stability is achieved
  full convergence is not necessary

Page Ranking

- problem: link cycles without outgoing links (crawler traps)

$\rightarrow$ unlimited accumulation of weights

- additional decay term included

Page Ranking

- prestige approximated by a random walk model:
  estimation of the probability that a random surfer reaches a
  particular page

Page Ranking

- prestige approximated by a random walk model: estimation of the probability that a random surfer reaches a particular page
- Google: combination of methods
    - standard text-based distance measures
    - proximity
    - anchor text
    - page ranking

Page Ranking

- adapted page rank

$$R(u) = c \sum_{v \in B_u} \frac{R(v)}{|F(v)|} + E(u)$$

- targeted initialisation of $E(u)$
  - personalised rankings: e.g. using personal bookmarks or the links on a users homepage
  - avoids the presentation of (highly linked) address book pages
  - fairly robust against spamming attacks

Page Ranking

- additional applications of PageRank:
    - comparison with access statistics: accessed pages vs. recommended pages
    - backlink prediction: control information for web crawler
    - navigation tools: visualising the importance of links

Page Role Classification

- HITS: hypertext-induced topic selection (Kleinberg, 1998)

Page Role Classification

- HITS: hypertext-induced topic selection (Kleinberg, 1998)
- two types of popular web pages:
  - authorities: provide high quality information
  - hubs: link to many authorities

Page Role Classification

- HITS: hypertext-induced topic selection (Kleinberg, 1998)
- two types of popular web pages:
  - authorities: provide high quality information
  - hubs: link to many authorities
- page role classification based on characteristic structural patterns
  - authority score: sum of hub scores pointing to the page
  - hub score: sum of authority scores to which the page points

Page Role Classification

- HITS: hypertext-induced topic selection (Kleinberg, 1998)
- two types of popular web pages:
  - authorities: provide high quality information
  - hubs: link to many authorities
- page role classification based on characteristic structural patterns
  - authority score: sum of hub scores pointing to the page
  - hub score: sum of authority scores to which the page points
- host internal links do not provide evidence of authority (self-citation)
  - need to be ignored

Page Role Classification

- HITS is topic/query specific
  - prestige values have to be computed online!

Page Role Classification

- HITS is topic/query specific
  - prestige values have to be computed online!
- particularly well suited for broad-topic queries
  - environmental protection, smart phones, digital photography, ...
  - usually high number of relevant pages
  - too much to be displayed; filtering required
- hubs are considered more useful, if the user wants to explore a new topic

Page Role Classification

- topic distillation:
  - obtain a set of pages with a text-based search engine (root set)
    - consists of several hundred pages
    - will contain some authorities but not all of them
  - extend the root set by all neighboring pages, both in and out (base set)
    - consists of several thousand pages
    - contains probably most of the authorities
  - eliminate links to the same host

Page Role Classification

- topic distillation (cont.)
  - initialize hub and authority values for each page
  - update hub and authority values iteratively until the network stabilises

hubs   authorities

unrelated page of large indegree

- report top-ranking hubs and authorities

Page Role Classification

- topic distillation (cont.)
  - initialize hub and authority values for each page
  - update hub and authority values iteratively until the network stabilises

hubs   authorities

unrelated page of large indegree

- report top-ranking hubs and authorities

Link Analysis

- other applications of link analysis
  - communication channels and bottlenecks in organizations
  - reputation in social networks
  - opinion leadership in social media
  - trust in e-business
  - detection of fake reviews
  - ...

Link Analysis: Problems

- including the radius-1 neighborhood improves recall

Link Analysis: Problems

- including the radius-1 neighborhood improves recall
- but leads to topic contamination
- radius-2 neighborhood: most hits are off topic
  - topic generalization: the neighborhood contains pages more general than the original query
    e.g. "movie awards" $\rightarrow$ "movies"
  - topic drift: many sites contain non-semantic links to general pages
    e.g. *This page is best viewed with ...*

Link Analysis: Problems

- including the radius-1 neighborhood improves recall

- but leads to topic contamination

- radius-2 neighborhood: most hits are off topic

  - topic generalization: the neighborhood contains pages more general than the original query
    e.g. "movie awards" $\rightarrow$ "movies"

  - topic drift: many sites contain non-semantic links to general pages
    e.g. *This page is best viewed with ...*

- both can be purposefully engineered

Link Analysis: Problems

- spamming link analysis engines
  - setting up fake communities of densely linked web pages
  - linking without semantic connection
  - placing links at public places (discussion forums, community systems, ...)

Database and Information Systems

Database and Information Systems

Content Extraction

- document retrieval is not enough: a user needs information, not documents
- texts are more than word collections

This site does not contain pornography!

Content Extraction

- full language understanding for unrestricted texts is still infeasible

- new strategy: improvement of results by stepwise accumulation of derived information
    - part-of-speech tagging
    - reference resolution
    - complex attributes
    - language-induced relations

- all information is annotated by an appropriate markup

Content Extraction

- part-of-speech tagging
    - removes some ambiguity, e.g. *can, Bush*
- categories
    - names (persons, places, companies, products, organisations, ...),
    - temporal expressions (date, time)

```
Mr.  <person> Crain </person>, CEO of <company>
GoForMoney </company>, said <date> yesterday </date>
...
```

Content Extraction

- base technologies:
  - word lists (gazetters)
  - (finite-state) pattern `Mr.   ?PersonName`
    `?PersonName, CEO of <company>`
    `CEO of ?CompanyName`
- cyclical accumulation of information

Content Extraction

- reference resolution

```
<coref id="1"> HMX </coref>, one of the most serious
  competitors of
<coref id="2"> GlobalView </coref>, gave rise to
  speculations about
<coref id="3" type=pro ref="1"> its </coref> general
  market strategy yesterday. The
<coref id="4" type=def ref="1"> company </coref> is offering
<coref id="5" type=pro ref="4"> its </coref> latest
<coref id="6"> model </coref>, the
<coref id="7" type=name ref="6"> GV200x </coref>, at an
  unusual low price. Said
<coref id="8" type=bridge ref="2"> CEO </coref>
<coref id="9" type=name ref="8"> John Collins </coref>
  ...
```

Content Extraction

- linguistic expressions for reference:
  - Coreference: pronominal, definite
  - nominal: established by a semantic relationship
    is-a, part-of, element-of, name-of, subset-of,
    attribute-of, ...)

- same objects can have different descriptions
  Mr. Bush, the president of the US, the commander in chief, ...

- reference resolution is prerequisite for content extraction
  ```
  selling(HMX,GV200x)
  low_price(GV200x)
  ```

Content Extraction

- usually only pronominal reference considered
- preferential criteria:
    - agreement, (Gender, Number)
    - parallelisms (syntactic function, thematic role)
- distance
- typical performance (nominal antecedents): 78-92% precision at 60-64% recall (Baldwin 1997, Stuckardt 2003)
- problem: ambiguity
  Fortgeschrittene Systeme erkennen die Information in der Form, in der sie generiert wird. Sie integrieren sie in das gespeicherte Wissen.

Content Extraction

- complex attribute structures (slot filling)

- sample tasks:
  - terrorism vs. military conflicts vs. criminal events
    location, target, kind, victims, ...

what:	arson attack
where:	Hamburg
victims:	4 serious casualties
damage:	TEuro 800
background:	unknown

  - selling events: seller, buyer, goods, amount, price, date, ...
  - booking confirmations: flight-no, date, time, places, category, .
  - customer inquiries: model, type, problem class, ...

Content Extraction

- question answering in open domains
- basis: large text corpora (several million documents)
- task: find a short piece of text which answers a given question e.g.

  Who was Christoph Kolumbus?
  What is a precession?
  When did the 30 Years War start?
  Who was the first man to climb Mount Everest?

- application of pure IR-techniques yields only low response quality:
  25.30% acceptable answers

Content Extraction

- logical inferences doubles the share of correctly answered questions:

  question: *When John Lennon has been killed?*
  text: *On Dec. 8th 1980 John Lennon was shot dead on*
            a street corner in New York city.
  inference: `shoot(X)` $\rightarrow$ `cause(die(X))`
            `kill(X)` $\rightarrow$ `cause(die(X))`

Content Extraction

- message understanding conferences (MUC)
- annual evaluation of solutions for information extraction
  - slot filling for special applications
  - named entity recognition
  - reference resolution
  - open-domain question answering
- standardised tasks allow objective comparison od different techniques

Database and Information Systems

Database and Information Systems

Multimedia Data

- media objects
  - text
  - audio: music, speech
  - graphics: vector graphics, bitmaps
  - video
  - ...
  $\rightarrow$ blobs (binary large objects)
- combinations of media objects: multimedia documents

Multimedia Data

- access to content is increasingly difficult
- characteristics
  - large volume
  - implicit semantics
  - heterogenous types and encoding schemata
  - complex objects
  - various peripheral I/O devices

Multimedia Data

- data preparation
  - decomposition
  - normalization
  - segmentation
- feature extraction
  - graphics: textures, shapes, (stereotypical objects)
  - video: movement vectors
  - audio: spectral or rhythmic properties, (words)
- distance-based similarity retrieval
  e.g. nearest neighbor with R-trees

Multimedia Data

- metadata approach
- describe content and form of multimedia objects
- content-independent: presentation, recording, storing
- content-related: low semantic level (textures, ...)
    - can be extracted automatically
    - mainly for similarity-based retrieval
- content descriptions: high semantic level
    - keyword-based search is possible
    - difficult to be extracted automatically
    - graphics: few stereotypical objects
    - speech: words
        - recognition accuracy 60 ... 80%
          is this sufficient for retrieval purposes?
    - music: simple melodies

Multimedia Data

	meta data	examples
content descriptions (interpretative)	context descriptions	index terms, ontologies, thesauri
	context-related descriptions	identification, location, time, date
	non-textual (1NF) object descriptions	objects, persons, impressions, activities, title
	textual (non 1NF) object decriptions	annotations, transcriptions, scripts, captions
content-related	features	color distribution, texture, sound dynamics, shape
	segment specifications	interval of a stream, contour of a graphical object
content-independent	presentation related	QoS, resolution, layout
	recording conditions	author, recording device
	storage-related	media type, encoding, storage index

(Schmitt 2002)